



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/510,047      | 10/01/2004  | Sadaaki Hirai        | 121324              | 8336             |

25944 7590 09/20/2007  
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC  
P.O. BOX 19928  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

BALDWIN, GORDON

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1775

|           |               |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

09/20/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |                   |              |  |
|---|-------------------|--------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No.   | Applicant(s) |  |
|   | 10/510,047        | HIRAI ET AL. |  |
|   | Examiner          | Art Unit     |  |
|   | Gordon R. Baldwin | 1775         |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** after-final

(1) Gordon R. Baldwin.

(3) Ms. Lynn Schwenning.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 September 2007

**Time:** 11AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

21 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 JENNIFER C. MCNEIL  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 9/18/07

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was called in regard to the after-final response to the final office action filed 9/14/2007. Issues of a new search and possible new matter rejections were discussed in regard to claim 23 and the specification's apparent lack of support for the new limitations propagated by the proposed amendment of claim 23. A resolution was not achieved .